

11 May 2012
[10-12]

Administrative Assessment Report – Application A1069

Irradiation of Tomatoes & Capsicums

<p>Date received: 15 March 2012 Date due for completion of administrative assessment: 5 April 2012 Date completed: 4 April 2012</p>		
<p>Applicant: Queensland, Department of Employment, Economic Development and Innovation (DEEDI).</p>		<p>Potentially affected Standard: Standard 1.5.3</p>
<p>Brief description of Application: To provide permission to irradiate fresh tomato (<i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i>) and fresh capsicum (<i>Capsicum annuum</i>) for a phytosanitary (quarantine) purpose.</p>		
<p>Procedure: General</p>	<p>Estimated total hours: Up to 1000 hours</p> <p>Reasons why: The assessment will require an updated dietary exposure and nutrition assessment of greater than average complexity. There will also need to be consideration of risk management options commensurate with the risk assessment findings and legal drafting</p>	<p>Estimated start work: April 2012</p>

Decision

Application accepted

Date: 4 April 2012

<p>Has the Applicant requested confidential commercial information status?</p> <p>Yes No✓</p>
<p>Has the Applicant sought special consideration e.g. novel food exclusivity, two separate applications which need to be progressed together?</p> <p>Yes No✓</p>

Charges

<p>Does FSANZ consider that the application confers an exclusive capturable commercial benefit on the Applicant?</p> <p>Yes No✓</p>
<p>Does the Applicant want to expedite consideration of this Application?</p> <p>Yes No Not known✓</p>

Application Handbook requirements

<p>Which Guidelines within the Part 3 of the <i>Application Handbook</i> apply to this Application?</p> <p>3.1. and 3.5.3</p> <p>Is the checklist completed?</p> <p>Yes✓ No</p> <p>Does the Application meet the requirements of the relevant Guidelines?</p> <p>Yes No ✓</p> <p>The Applicant did not meet all of the mandatory format requirements under 3.1 of the Application Handbook, but FSANZ has determined that this failure did not justify rejecting the application.</p>
<p>Does the Application relate to a matter that may be developed as a food regulatory measure, or that warrants a variation of a food regulatory measure?</p> <p>Yes✓ No</p>
<p>Is the Application so similar to a previous application or proposal for the development or variation of a food regulatory measure that it ought not to be accepted?</p> <p>Yes No✓</p>
<p>Did the Applicant identify the Procedure that, in their view, applies to the consideration of this Application?</p> <p>Yes✓ No</p> <p>If yes, indicate which Procedure:</p> <p>General (note that this was not listed in the Application but was in an accompanying covering letter to FSANZ).</p>
<p>Other Comments or Relevant Matters:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • .

Consultation & assessment timeframe

<p>Proposed length of public consultation period</p> <p>6 weeks</p>
--

Proposed timeframe for assessment:

'Early Bird Notification' due: 7 May 2012

Commence assessment (clock start)	Early June 2012
Completion of assessment & preparation of draft food reg measure	Early Sept 2012
Public comment	Mid-Sept to Late Oct 2012
Board to complete approval	Early March 2013
Notification to FoFR	Mid-March 2013
Anticipated gazettal if no review requested	Late May 2013